## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PEDRO SOTO, : CIVIL ACTION

Petitioner,

•

v. : NO. 19-cv-780

:

LAWRENCE P. MAHALLY, et al., Respondents. :

ORDER

This 5<sup>th</sup> day of July 2022, following careful and independent consideration of the petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to <u>28 U.S.C. § 2254</u>, and following review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Lynne A. Sitarski, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- 1. The Report and Recommendation is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED.**<sup>1</sup>
- 2. The petition for habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is **DENIED.** <sup>2</sup>
- 3. There is no basis for the issuance of a certificate of appealability.

BY THE COURT:

/s/Gerald Austin McHugh
United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petitioner did not file objections. The docket reflects that he has been out of custody since at least October 2021, without ever re-establishing communication with this Court.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> I agree with Judge Sitarski that the petition here is moot where it involved credit against a parole violation, and Petitioner has served his maximum sentence and is not at risk for reincarceration on these charges.